

## LHD survey for UNICEF Country Office and Partners

Thank you for participating in this anonymous survey, which will inform UNICEF's Quality Reviews of Linkages between Humanitarian and Development Programming. The quality reviews are conducted by the UNICEF country offices in the top 10 largest humanitarian responses, and any other COs who wish to participate.

The purpose of this survey is to gather perspectives from UNICEF staff, partners and counterparts on how well UNICEF is implementing its commitments linking of humanitarian, development and (where relevant) peacebuilding programming goals. We ask that you answer the questions in relation to your particular context and role. Your responses will be aggregated with those of others in the country context to help inform analysis.

Start date:

End date:

Report available:

Q. 1 Country where you work

Q. 2 Name of your organization (optional)

Q. 3 Organizational affiliation - *choose one*

- UNICEF
- Implementing Partner of UNICEF
- Other organization
- No organization

Q. 4 Staff Type - *choose one*

- International Staff
- National Staff

Q. 5 Programme Sector (*if applicable*)

Q. 6 Are the current UNICEF project/programmes that you are familiar with designed with LHD rationales and objectives? *Choose one*

- Mostly, yes
- Sometimes

- Rarely
- Never
- I don't know

Q. 7 Has the degree or quality of linkage between humanitarian, development and peace programming increased meaningfully in your context over the past year? *Choose one*

- Yes
- No
- I don't know or N/A

Q. 8 What are the obstacles, if any, to linking humanitarian and development programming in your context? Please rank each of the below factors according to how big an obstacle they pose:

*0 = not an obstacle      7 = biggest obstacle*

0    1    2    3    4    5    6    7

Separate funding streams for humanitarian and development

0    1    2    3    4    5    6    7

Coordination problems with government

0    1    2    3    4    5    6    7

Lack of understanding or sufficient guidance on LHD

0    1    2    3    4    5    6    7

Coordination problems with other aid actors

0    1    2    3    4    5    6    7

UNICEF internal systems for planning and operations

0    1    2    3    4    5    6    7

Humanitarian principles in conflict situations

0    1    2    3    4    5    6    7

Shortage of funding for LHD activities since urgent humanitarian needs are prioritized

Q. 9 How well does UNICEF incorporate the following LHD commitments, in your view?

*Please rate each on a scale of 0 to 5, where 0 is not at all well and 5 is very well:*

- Improving emergency response through preparedness
- Working with local actors and building their capacity
- Conflict-sensitive programming that integrates peacebuilding objectives
- Emergency response that strengthens national institutions and builds local

- capacities
- Accountability mechanisms that systematically collect and use feedback and promote participation
- Conducting robust risk analysis and conflict analysis (where relevant) in planning
- Development programmes that incorporate risk reduction strategies
- Intensified advocacy for predictable and flexible multi-year financing

Q. 10 Which areas are most important for UNICEF to improve in LHD programming?

*Please rank the below by entering numbers from 1 to 10. 1 is the most important.... 10 is the least important*

- Intensified advocacy for predictable and flexible multi-year financing
- Accountability to affected populations
- Planning and programme design
- Risk and conflict analysis and risk informed programming
- Preparedness
- Localization and strengthening of national/local partners
- Skills training for staff
- Internal systems (Admin/Finance/HR)
- Monitoring and evaluation
- Strengthening national systems and mechanisms for service delivery

Please use this space to add any additional comments or information that you think would be useful. (Optional)

I accept the UNICEF privacy policy

[View the privacy policy here](#)

[Submit](#)